

# Fiscal Inflation Analysis: Model, Method, and Results

Jai Kedia

Center for Monetary and Financial Alternatives

Cato Institute

August 17, 2023

## 1 Model Environment

The model for this analysis inserts hand-to-mouth consumers as introduced in Galí, López-Salido, and Vallés (2007) ('GLV') into the benchmark Smets and Wouters (2007) ('SW') medium-scale, New-Keynesian framework. The equilibrium log-linearized equations are as follows:

$$c_t^o = \frac{h}{1+h}c_{t-1}^o + \frac{1}{1+h}E_t c_{t+1}^o - \frac{1-h}{1+h}(r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} + \varepsilon_t^b) \quad (1)$$

$$c_t^r = \frac{1-\alpha}{\mu_p^{ss} \gamma_c}(w_t + n_t^r) - \gamma_c^{-1} \tau_t^r \quad (2)$$

$$c_t = \lambda c_t^r + (1-\lambda)c_t^o \quad (3)$$

$$n_t = \lambda n_t^r + (1-\lambda)n_t^o \quad (4)$$

$$w_t = \frac{1}{1+\beta}w_{t-1} + \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}(E_t w_{t+1} + E_t \pi_{t+1}) - \frac{1+\beta \iota_w}{1+\beta} \pi_t + \frac{\iota_w}{1+\beta} \pi_{t-1} - \frac{(1-\beta \xi_w)(1-\xi_w)}{\xi_w(1+\beta)} \mu_t^w + \varepsilon_t^w \quad (5)$$

$$\mu_t^w = w_t - \frac{1}{1-h}(c_t^o - h c_{t-1}^o) - \sigma_l n_t^o \quad (6)$$

$$\mu_t^r = w_t - c_t^r - \sigma_l n_t^r \quad (7)$$

$$i_t = \frac{1}{1+\beta}i_{t-1} + \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}E_t i_{t+1} + \frac{1}{\varphi(1+\beta)}q_t + \varepsilon_t^i \quad (8)$$

$$k_t = (1-\delta)k_{t-1} + \delta i_t + (\delta(1+\beta)\varphi)\varepsilon_t^i \quad (9)$$

$$z_t = \frac{\psi}{1-\psi}r_t^k \quad (10)$$

$$q_t = \beta(1-\delta)E_t q_{t+1} + [1+\beta(1-\delta)]E_t r_{t+1}^k - (r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} + \varepsilon_t^b) \quad (11)$$

$$\pi_t = \frac{\iota_p}{1+\iota_p \beta} \pi_{t-1} + \frac{\beta}{1+\iota_p \beta} E_t \pi_{t+1} - \frac{(1-\beta \xi_p)(1-\xi_p)}{(1+\iota_p \beta) \xi_p} \mu_t^p + \varepsilon_t^p \quad (12)$$

$$\mu_t^p = (y_t - n_t) - w_t \quad (13)$$

$$r_t^k = -(k_t - n_t) + w_t \quad (14)$$

$$y_t = (1 - \alpha)n_t + \alpha k_{t-1} + \alpha z_t + \varepsilon_t^a \quad (15)$$

$$y_t = \gamma_c c_t + \gamma_i i_t + \gamma_z z_t + \varepsilon_t^g \quad (16)$$

$$b_t = \beta^{-1}[b_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^g - \tau_t] \quad (17)$$

$$\tau_t = \phi_b b_{t-1} + \phi_g \varepsilon_t^g \quad (18)$$

$$r_t = \rho_r r_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_r)[r_\pi \pi_t + r_y y_t] + \varepsilon_t^r \quad (19)$$

All variable and parameter interpretations may be found from either the GLV or SW papers directly. Detailed model discussions or derivations are omitted here for simplicity.

## 2 Empirical Methodology and Results

The model listed above is estimated using data for several U.S. macro indicators:  $y_t$ ,  $c_t$ ,  $i_t$ ,  $n_t$ ,  $w_t$ ,  $\pi_t$ , and  $r_t$ . Data for all metrics were collected in real terms (where applicable) at a quarterly frequency from Q1 2010 through Q4 2022.<sup>1</sup> Inflation is computed using the PCE price index - the Fed's preferred metric (Yellen, 2015). Since the federal funds rate is frequently at its zero lower bound during this period, the Wu and Xia (2016) shadow federal funds rate is used to accurately capture the stance of monetary policy. Finally, given the importance of expectations in macro analysis (see Milani, 2023), one year ahead inflation expectations are collected from the Michigan Survey of Consumers and included in the estimation. The observation equations are as follows:

$$OBS_t = \begin{bmatrix} dlY_t \\ dlC_t \\ dlI_t \\ dlW_t \\ lHOURS_t \\ dlP_t \\ FFR_t \\ MSC_t^{1yr} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\gamma} \\ \bar{\gamma} \\ \bar{\gamma} \\ \bar{\gamma} \\ \bar{n} \\ \bar{\pi} \\ \bar{r} \\ 4\bar{\pi} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} y_t - y_{t-1} \\ c_t - c_{t-1} \\ i_t - i_{t-1} \\ w_t - w_{t-1} \\ n_t \\ \pi_t \\ r_t \\ \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{i=1}^4 \pi_{t+i} + 4\varepsilon_t^m \end{bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

where  $dl$  represents 100 times the log difference,  $\bar{\gamma}$  is the quarterly trend growth rate common to  $Y_t$ ,  $C_t$ ,  $I_t$  and  $W_t$ ,  $\bar{n}$  is set to zero since hours data is demeaned,  $\bar{\pi}$  is the steady-state quarterly inflation rate,  $\bar{r}$  is the steady-state quarterly interest rate, and  $\varepsilon_t^m$  is an exogenous measurement error. Calibrated parameters

<sup>1</sup>Unless specified otherwise, all data is collected from the FRED database.

Parameter	Value	Details
$\beta$	0.99	Discount rate
$\mu_p^{ss}$	1.20	Steady state price mark-up
$\delta$	0.025	Depreciation rate
$\gamma_c$	0.57	Consumption share
$\gamma_i$	0.25	Investment share
$\gamma_z$	0.10	Capital utilization share

Table 1: Calibrated Parameters

are presented in Table 1. The rest of the model parameters (structural and shocks) are estimated by a Bayesian MCMC Metropolis-Hastings algorithm using one chain of 500,000 draws with a 40% burn-in.<sup>2</sup> All parameters are identified and trace plots confirm parameter convergence. Priors for the estimated parameters (see Table 2) are chosen as per Smets and Wouters (2007) with the trends adjusted to accurately reflect the post-financial crisis period and shock deviations expanded. The posterior means for all parameters and shocks, as well as the marginal likelihood, is also presented in Table 2.

<sup>2</sup>See An and Schorfheide (2007), Fernández-Villaverde (2010), and Herbst and Schorfheide (2015) for an overview of Bayesian MCMC estimation methods pertaining to DSGE models.

Parameter	Description	Prior	Posterior
<i>Structural Parameters</i>			
$h$	Habit formation	$\mathbf{B}(0.70, 0.10)$	0.6180
$\sigma_l$	Inverse Frisch elasticity	$\mathbf{N}(2.00, 0.75)$	1.0709
$\xi_p$	Calvo factor - prices	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.10)$	0.7612
$\xi_w$	Calvo factor - wages	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.10)$	0.8339
$\iota_p$	Price indexation	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.15)$	0.1190
$\iota_w$	Wage indexation	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.15)$	0.5676
$\varphi$	SS capital adjustment elasticity	$\mathbf{N}(4.00, 1.50)$	1.5204
$\psi$	Capital utilization elasticity	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.15)$	0.8047
$\alpha$	Capital share of output	$\mathbf{N}(0.30, 0.05)$	0.3170
$\phi_b$	Fiscal policy - debt	$\mathbf{\Gamma}(0.33, 0.10)$	0.3232
$\phi_g$	Fiscal policy - spending	$\mathbf{\Gamma}(0.10, 0.05)$	0.0919
$r_\pi$	Taylor rule - inflation	$\mathbf{N}(1.50, 0.50)$	1.9289
$r_y$	Taylor rule - output	$\mathbf{N}(0.12, 0.05)$	0.1526
$\bar{\gamma}$	Economy trend	$\mathbf{N}(0.40, 0.10)$	0.4795
$\bar{\pi}$	SS inflation	$\mathbf{N}(0.60, 0.10)$	0.6987
$\bar{r}$	SS interest rate	$\mathbf{N}(0.75, 0.25)$	0.6820
<i>Shock Processes</i>			
Persistence			
$\rho_b$	Risk premium	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.20)$	0.7482
$\rho_w$	Wage mark-up	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.20)$	0.1576
$\rho_i$	Investment-specific tech.	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.20)$	0.8772
$\rho_p$	Price mark-up	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.20)$	0.9290
$\rho_a$	Total factor productivity	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.20)$	0.4420
$\rho_g$	Fiscal spending	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.20)$	0.9542
$\rho_r$	Monetary policy	$\mathbf{B}(0.50, 0.20)$	0.9145
Deviation			
$\sigma_b$	Risk premium	$\mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}(0.30, 2.00)$	1.4887
$\sigma_w$	Wage mark-up	$\mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}(0.30, 2.00)$	0.9462
$\sigma_i$	Investment-specific tech.	$\mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}(0.30, 2.00)$	0.9202
$\sigma_p$	Price mark-up	$\mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}(0.30, 2.00)$	0.1351
$\sigma_a$	Total factor productivity	$\mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}(0.30, 2.00)$	0.5551
$\sigma_g$	Fiscal spending	$\mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}(0.30, 2.00)$	0.5397
$\sigma_r$	Monetary policy	$\mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}(0.30, 2.00)$	0.1494
$\sigma_m$	Measurement	$\mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}(0.50, 2.00)$	0.2395
Marginal Likelihood (Modified Harmonic Mean)			-591.92

Table 2: Estimated Posterior Means and Marginal Likelihood

*Note:* For the priors, symbols represent distributions in the following manner:  $\mathbf{B}$  - Beta,  $\mathbf{\Gamma}$  - Gamma,  $\mathbf{N}$  - Normal, and  $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}$  - Inverse Gamma. All prior distributions are presented with means and standard deviations in parentheses. 90% credible intervals are available upon request. Marginal likelihoods are computed using Geweke (1999)'s modified harmonic mean approach.

## References

- [1] An, Sungbae and Frank Schorfheide. “Bayesian Analysis of DSGE Models.” *Econometric Reviews* 26, nos. 2-4 (2007): 113-172.
- [2] Fernández-Villaverde, Jesús. “The Econometrics of DSGE Models.” *SERIEs* 1 (February 2010): 3-49.
- [3] Galí, Jordi, J. David López-Salido, and Javier Vallés. “Understanding the Effects of Government Spending on Consumption.” *Journal of the European Economic Association* 5, no.1 (March 2007): 227-270.
- [4] Geweke, John. “Using Simulation Methods for Bayesian Econometric Models: Inference, Development, and Communication.” *Econometric Reviews* 18, no. 1 (1999): 1-73.
- [5] Herbst, Edward and Frank Schorfheide. *Bayesian Estimation of DSGE Models*, April 10, 2015.
- [6] Milani, Fabio. “Expectational Data in DSGE Models.” *Handbook of Economics Expectations*. Edited by R. Bachmann, W. van der Klaauw, and G. Topa. Elsevier: Forthcoming.
- [7] Smets, Frank and Rafael Wouters. “Shocks and Frictions in U.S. Business Cycles: A Bayesian DSGE Approach.” *American Economic Review* 97, no. 3 (June 2007): 586-606.
- [8] Wu, Jing C. and Fan D. Xia. “Measuring the Macroeconomic Impact of Monetary Policy at the Zero Lower Bound.” *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 48, nos. 2-3 (March-April 2016): 253-291.
- [9] Yellen, Janet L. “Inflation Dynamics and Monetary Policy.” Speech at the Philip Gamble Memorial Lecture, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, September 24, 2015.